

Analysis of the Effectiveness of the Assessment and Evaluation System in Higher Education within the Environment of Okmin University

Serius Kulka¹, Mayxsie T. Nabyal²

^{1,2} Universitas Okmin, Pegunungan Bintang, Papua, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received : 05 January 2024

Publish : 08 January 2024

Keywords:

Assessment Effectiveness

Higher Education

Evaluation

Info Artikel

Article history:

Received : 05 Januari2024

Publish : 08 Januari 2024

Abstract

This research aims to conduct an in-depth analysis of the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education within the environment of Okmin University. With the dynamic growth in the field of higher education, it becomes crucial to evaluate the extent to which the current assessment system achieves its evaluative goals. The research methodology employed is descriptive analysis and mapping of the existing assessment structure. This study explores the clarity of assessment objectives, the alignment of assessment tools with expected competencies, and the level of stakeholder engagement in the evaluation process. Data were collected through interviews, surveys, and document analysis. The analysis results identified the strengths and weaknesses of the current assessment system, providing a better understanding of its implementation at Okmin University. The findings of this research offer valuable insights to enhance the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education within the university environment. The practical implications of this research can support the development of policies and best practices in improving the quality of higher education at Okmin University.

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk melakukan analisis mendalam terhadap efektivitas sistem penilaian dan evaluasi pendidikan tinggi di lingkungan Universitas Okmin. Dengan pertumbuhan dinamis dalam dunia pendidikan tinggi, penting untuk *mengevaluasi* sejauh mana sistem penilaian saat ini mencapai tujuan evaluatifnya. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah analisis deskriptif dan pemetaan *struktur* penilaian yang ada. Penelitian ini mengeksplorasi kejelasan tujuan penilaian, kecocokan instrumen penilaian dengan kompetensi yang diharapkan, dan tingkat keterlibatan stakeholder dalam proses evaluasi. Data dikumpulkan melalui wawancara, survei, dan analisis dokumen. Hasil analisis mengidentifikasi kekuatan dan kelemahan sistem penilaian saat ini, memberikan pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang implementasinya di Universitas Okmin. Temuan penelitian ini memberikan wawasan yang berharga untuk meningkatkan efektivitas sistem penilaian dan evaluasi pendidikan tinggi di lingkungan universitas. Implikasi praktis dari penelitian ini dapat mendukung pengembangan kebijakan dan praktik terbaik dalam meningkatkan kualitas pendidikan tinggi di Universitas Okmin.

This is an open access article under the [Lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi-BerbagiSerupa 4.0 Internasional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)



Corresponding Author:

Mayxsie T. Nabyal

Universitas Okmin Papua

Email: Mayxsiethoe@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education plays a pivotal role in shaping the future of individuals and societies, serving as a catalyst for intellectual growth and societal progress (Austin, 2014). The efficacy of the assessment and evaluation system within the higher education landscape is a critical determinant of the quality and relevance of educational outcomes (Harper et al., 2019). In the context of Okmin University, the need for a thorough analysis of the effectiveness of its assessment and evaluation system arises to ensure continuous improvement and alignment with contemporary educational standards.

A comprehensive review of the existing literature provides a foundational understanding of the current state of assessment and evaluation systems in higher education globally, offering insights into best practices and areas that require enhancement (Mishra, 2016). This state-of-the-art exploration serves as the basis for establishing the scholarly novelty of the present article. By identifying gaps and limitations in the existing knowledge base, this article aims to contribute to the academic discourse surrounding effective assessment practices in higher education (Smith, 2017).

In light of the aforementioned considerations, the scientific novelty of this article lies in its unique focus on Okmin University, where the assessment and evaluation system may possess distinctive characteristics and challenges. While there is a wealth of literature on assessment effectiveness in higher education, a tailored examination within the specific context of Okmin University is imperative to provide context-specific recommendations and enhancements.

The central research question guiding this investigation is to critically analyze the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system at Okmin University. This question stems from the recognition that an in-depth understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of the current system is paramount for instituting meaningful reforms and improvements.

Moreover, the significance of this research extends beyond the confines of academic discourse, as its outcomes are anticipated to have practical implications for Okmin University's administration, faculty, and students. The findings may inform strategic decisions, policies, and interventions geared towards optimizing the educational experience and outcomes for all stakeholders.

In conclusion, the primary objective of this article is to contribute empirical evidence and insights into the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education at Okmin University. Through a meticulous analysis, we aim to identify areas of success, challenges, and potential avenues for enhancement. Such knowledge is crucial for fostering a culture of continuous improvement and ensuring the provision of quality education that meets the evolving needs of students and the broader society.

This research endeavor aligns with the broader goals of advancing educational practices and fostering a culture of continuous improvement within Okmin University, positioning it as a beacon of excellence in higher education. The subsequent sections of this article will delve into the methodology, findings, and implications of the analysis to offer a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system within the university's educational landscape.

Undoubtedly, the outcomes of this research are poised to contribute significantly to the enhancement of Okmin University's educational practices, thereby fortifying its standing as a distinguished institution committed to providing an exceptional learning experience. The findings will not only enrich the academic literature but also offer actionable insights to educational institutions globally striving for excellence in assessment and evaluation practices.

The analysis of the higher education evaluation system at Okmin University involves a multi-faceted approach, encompassing various dimensions such as clarity of assessment objectives, alignment of assessment tools with expected competencies, stakeholder engagement, and the overall impact on student learning outcomes. Through surveys, interviews, and document analysis, we aim to provide a comprehensive examination of the existing system's strengths and weaknesses.

A crucial aspect of the analysis will be the identification of any disparities between stated assessment objectives and the actual implementation of evaluation tools. This involves scrutinizing the clarity and transparency of assessment criteria and ensuring they align with the intended learning outcomes of the programs offered at Okmin University. Additionally, we will explore the extent of faculty and student involvement in the assessment process, shedding light on the collaborative nature of evaluation practices.

The examination of the higher education evaluation system will also delve into the utilization of technology and innovative approaches in assessment. This includes investigating the integration of digital tools, artificial intelligence, and automation to enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and relevance of the evaluation process. By exploring these aspects, the analysis aims to provide actionable insights for Okmin University to embrace technological advancements for continuous improvement.

The analysis of the assessment and evaluation system at Okmin University is not only an academic exercise but holds profound implications for the institution's educational practices. The findings of this research can guide the development of evidence-based strategies to enhance the

quality and effectiveness of assessment practices. Practical implications may include the revision of assessment criteria, the implementation of faculty development programs, and the integration of innovative technologies to streamline evaluation processes.

Furthermore, the insights gained from the analysis can contribute to shaping policies that foster a culture of continuous improvement within the academic community. The recommendations derived from the study may inform the strategic planning initiatives of Okmin University, aligning them with the evolving needs of students, faculty, and the broader educational landscape. Ultimately, the analysis aims to serve as a catalyst for positive change, providing a roadmap for Okmin University to strengthen its position as a center of excellence in higher education.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research employs a qualitative approach with a case study research design to gain an in-depth understanding of the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education at Okmin University. The qualitative approach is deemed most relevant as it allows researchers to explore in detail the perspectives and experiences of various stakeholders involved in the evaluation system (Black & Wiliam, 2018).

Research participants are purposively selected to ensure a holistic representation, including faculty members, students, and administrative staff engaged in the assessment and evaluation processes. Data collection techniques encompass in-depth interviews, direct observations, and the analysis of official documents related to the evaluation policies at Okmin University.

The research procedure commences with an initial meeting to introduce the researcher and elucidate the research objectives to the participants. Subsequently, in-depth interviews and observations are conducted according to a predetermined schedule, providing direct insights into perceptions and experiences related to higher education evaluation. The analysis of official documents is also systematically undertaken to explore official policies, assessment guidelines, and other pertinent aspects.

Data analysis follows an inductive approach, categorizing, coding, and interpreting data to identify key findings. Triangulation of data from various sources is utilized to enhance the reliability and validity of the findings. The research adheres to ethical principles, including participant privacy and informed consent (Brown & Knight, 2015).

Limitations of the study may lie in the generalizability of findings, constrained by the specific context of Okmin University. However, this focused approach is expected to make a significant contribution to the contextual understanding of the evaluation system at the local level. Through this methodology, the research aims to provide a deep and relevant understanding to enhance the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education at Okmin University.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

The analysis of the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system in higher education within the environment of Okmin University yielded comprehensive insights into various facets of the existing system. This section presents and discusses the key findings derived from the study.

Clarity of Assessment Objectives

One of the pivotal aspects examined was the clarity of assessment objectives within Okmin University. The findings indicate that while there is a formal articulation of assessment goals, there exists room for improvement in terms of clarity and specificity. Respondents, particularly students, expressed a desire for more transparent communication regarding the intended outcomes of

assessments. This suggests a potential gap between the formulation of assessment objectives at the administrative level and the understanding of these objectives by students and faculty.

The examination of the clarity of assessment objectives within Okmin University unveiled nuanced insights into the alignment between administrative articulation and stakeholder comprehension. While there exists a formal delineation of assessment goals, feedback from students suggests a desire for more transparent communication regarding the intended outcomes of assessments. This gap in clarity indicates a potential challenge in translating institutional objectives into comprehensible terms for students and faculty. It becomes imperative for Okmin University to bridge this communication divide, ensuring that assessment goals are not only formulated at the administrative level but are also clearly understood and embraced by those directly engaged in the educational process.

Moreover, the study illuminated the significance of articulating assessment objectives with precision and specificity. The lack of detailed guidance on what is expected from assessments can lead to ambiguity and varied interpretations among stakeholders. This raises questions about the effectiveness of the assessment system in achieving its intended purposes. As Okmin University strives for academic excellence, refining the clarity of assessment objectives becomes a foundational step in enhancing the overall efficacy of the evaluation process. This includes implementing mechanisms for regular communication, feedback loops, and collaborative platforms where faculty and students can actively participate in shaping and refining assessment goals.

In addressing the issue of clarity, Okmin University may consider instituting workshops or training sessions for faculty to improve their skills in formulating and communicating assessment objectives. Additionally, the integration of clear assessment rubrics and guidelines can serve as valuable tools for both faculty and students, fostering a shared understanding of expectations and contributing to a more transparent and communicative educational environment.

Alignment of Assessment Tools with Competencies

The study delved into the alignment of assessment tools with the competencies expected from students. The results revealed a mixed alignment scenario, with some assessments closely mirroring the intended competencies, while others demonstrated a need for realignment. Faculty members acknowledged the importance of regularly reviewing and updating assessment tools to ensure their continued relevance. The discussion around alignment highlighted the dynamic nature of competencies in the evolving landscape of higher education, emphasizing the necessity for adaptability in assessment strategies.

The analysis of the alignment between assessment tools and the competencies expected from students at Okmin University uncovered a multifaceted landscape, reflecting both strengths and areas for improvement. The study indicated that some assessment tools closely mirrored the intended competencies, showcasing a commendable alignment with the educational objectives set by the institution. However, a closer examination revealed a need for realignment in certain instances, signaling potential gaps in the congruence between assessment tools and the evolving landscape of higher education competencies.

The findings underscore the dynamic nature of competencies in the contemporary educational environment, necessitating a continuous and responsive approach to assessment strategies. Faculty members acknowledged the importance of regularly reviewing and adapting assessment tools to ensure their continued relevance. This acknowledgment is pivotal in fostering a culture of adaptability within Okmin University, where assessment methods are not static but evolve in tandem with emerging educational trends and industry demands.

To enhance the alignment between assessment tools and competencies, Okmin University could implement a systematic and periodic review process. This process may involve collaborative efforts between faculty, industry experts, and relevant stakeholders to identify emerging competencies that

should be integrated into the assessment framework. Additionally, fostering a culture of innovation and exploration within the academic community can encourage the development of assessment tools that authentically measure the desired competencies, ensuring that Okmin University remains at the forefront of providing a contemporary and relevant education.

As Okmin University charts its course towards academic excellence, the alignment between assessment tools and competencies serves as a compass, guiding the institution to navigate the complex landscape of higher education with adaptability and foresight. By fostering a proactive approach to assessment alignment, Okmin University can fortify its commitment to equipping students with the skills and knowledge essential for success in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

Stakeholder Engagement in the Evaluation Process

Stakeholder engagement emerged as a critical factor influencing the effectiveness of the evaluation process. Faculty members expressed a strong commitment to involving students in the assessment design and review processes. However, students reported feeling inadequately consulted, indicating a potential communication gap between faculty and students. This finding underscores the significance of fostering collaborative relationships between various stakeholders to ensure a more inclusive and representative evaluation system.

The study delved into the crucial dimension of stakeholder engagement in the evaluation process at Okmin University, seeking to unravel the dynamics of collaboration and communication between faculty, students, and administrative staff. The findings highlighted a commendable commitment among faculty members to involve students in the assessment design and review processes. However, a subtle discrepancy emerged as students reported feeling inadequately consulted, indicating a potential communication gap between these key stakeholders.

Effective stakeholder engagement is fundamental to fostering a sense of inclusivity and shared ownership in the evaluation process. The study emphasized the need for Okmin University to institutionalize mechanisms that facilitate meaningful collaboration between faculty and students. This may involve the establishment of regular forums, focus groups, or advisory panels where both parties can actively contribute to shaping and refining the evaluation system. Such platforms can serve as catalysts for open dialogue, enabling the co-creation of assessment approaches that resonate with the diverse perspectives and needs of the academic community.

Furthermore, the study shed light on the broader implications of stakeholder engagement beyond immediate collaboration. A more inclusive evaluation process can contribute to a sense of empowerment among students, fostering a culture of active participation and responsibility in their educational journey. It also aligns with Okmin University's commitment to student-centered education, where the voices of students are not only heard but actively integrated into the decision-making processes that shape the academic landscape.

In addressing the observed communication gap, Okmin University may consider implementing communication and engagement training for faculty members to enhance their ability to solicit and incorporate student input effectively. Additionally, establishing a formalized feedback mechanism, such as anonymous suggestion boxes or digital platforms, can provide a confidential avenue for students to express their perspectives on the evaluation process. By prioritizing stakeholder engagement, Okmin University can create an educational environment that truly reflects the collaborative spirit essential for holistic academic development.

Utilization of Technology in Assessment

The study investigated the extent to which technology was integrated into the assessment and evaluation processes at Okmin University. The findings revealed a varied landscape, with some departments leveraging advanced technologies such as online assessments and data analytics, while others relied on traditional methods. The discussion around technology highlighted both the

opportunities and challenges associated with its integration. While technology can enhance efficiency and provide real-time feedback, its effective implementation requires careful consideration of accessibility and inclusivity.

The investigation into the utilization of technology in assessment at Okmin University revealed a diverse landscape marked by varying degrees of adoption and integration across different departments. The study showcased instances where advanced technologies, such as online assessment platforms and data analytics tools, were effectively employed to enhance the efficiency and scope of evaluations. However, a parallel existence was noted, with some departments relying on traditional assessment methods. This disparity prompts a closer examination of the opportunities and challenges associated with the integration of technology into the assessment and evaluation processes.

The findings underscored the potential benefits of leveraging technology in assessment, including the ability to streamline administrative tasks, provide real-time feedback, and accommodate diverse learning styles. Faculty members who embraced technology highlighted its capacity to offer a more comprehensive view of student performance, facilitating data-driven insights for instructional improvements. However, the study also brought attention to the need for strategic planning and faculty development to ensure a uniform and effective integration of technology across all departments.

The discussion around the utilization of technology in assessment at Okmin University emphasized the importance of considering accessibility and inclusivity. While technology can offer innovative solutions, it is essential to ensure that all students, regardless of their technological access, can equally participate in assessments. Addressing potential technological disparities requires a balanced approach that combines the benefits of advanced tools with a commitment to providing alternative means for those facing barriers to digital access.

To optimize the utilization of technology in assessment, Okmin University may consider instituting faculty training programs focused on digital literacy and the effective use of online assessment platforms. Additionally, collaborative efforts between academic and technological support units can enhance the seamless integration of innovative tools into the evaluation processes. By embracing technology strategically and inclusively, Okmin University can harness its potential to revolutionize the assessment landscape, providing a more dynamic and responsive educational experience for all stakeholders.

Overall Impact on Student Learning Outcomes

A central focus of the analysis was the overall impact of the assessment and evaluation system on student learning outcomes. Students and faculty generally agreed that the current system, despite its shortcomings, contributed positively to the learning experience. However, concerns were raised regarding the timeliness and relevance of feedback. The discussion emphasized the need for a balanced approach that not only measures academic performance but also promotes a holistic development of students' skills and competencies.

The central focus of the analysis was to gauge the overall impact of the assessment and evaluation system at Okmin University on student learning outcomes. A nuanced exploration revealed a complex interplay of factors that contribute both positively and challengingly to the educational experience of students. Generally, students and faculty acknowledged the system's positive contributions to learning outcomes, affirming its role in shaping academic growth. However, concerns were raised regarding the timeliness and relevance of feedback, prompting a deeper reflection on the holistic impact of the assessment framework.

Students expressed a desire for more immediate and constructive feedback, underlining its pivotal role in the learning process. The findings illuminated a potential discrepancy between the pace of assessment feedback and the dynamic learning needs of students. This observation

underscores the critical importance of refining the feedback mechanisms within the evaluation system. A responsive feedback system not only aids in addressing academic shortcomings promptly but also cultivates a continuous learning culture, fostering students' self-efficacy and metacognitive skills.

Moreover, the study brought attention to the broader implications of assessment beyond traditional academic metrics. It emphasized the need for a balanced approach that not only measures academic performance but also considers the holistic development of students' skills and competencies. This resonates with Okmin University's commitment to nurturing well-rounded individuals equipped for success in diverse professional landscapes. The assessment and evaluation system, when thoughtfully designed, has the potential to contribute significantly to this overarching educational objective.

In addressing the identified concerns, Okmin University may consider implementing strategies to enhance the feedback loop, including leveraging technology for quicker and more personalized feedback delivery. Additionally, faculty development programs focused on constructive feedback practices can empower educators to provide timely and impactful insights. By aligning the assessment system with the broader goals of student development, Okmin University can elevate its educational impact, ensuring that the evaluation process not only measures outcomes but actively contributes to the continuous improvement of the learning experience.

3.2 Discussion

The discussion of results leads to critical implications and recommendations for Okmin University. Firstly, there is a need for enhanced communication and collaboration between faculty and students to ensure a shared understanding of assessment objectives. Regular forums and feedback mechanisms can bridge the existing communication gap. Additionally, the findings underscore the importance of continuous review and adaptation of assessment tools to align with evolving competencies and technological advancements.

The study recommends the establishment of a task force or committee comprising representatives from different stakeholders to facilitate ongoing dialogue and collaboration in the assessment design and review processes. Furthermore, Okmin University may consider investing in professional development programs for faculty to enhance their proficiency in leveraging technology for assessment purposes. This includes training on the effective use of online platforms, data analytics, and other digital tools.

The examination of the assessment and evaluation system at Okmin University reveals a nuanced landscape that intertwines both commendable aspects and areas ripe for improvement. The clarity of assessment objectives emerged as a focal point, shedding light on the need for a more transparent communication channel between administrators, faculty, and students. While formal articulation exists, the study suggests the importance of refining this communication to bridge the comprehension gap, ensuring that the intended objectives are universally understood and embraced.

In the realm of aligning assessment tools with competencies, the findings illustrate a dynamic scenario where some tools effectively mirror intended competencies, while others necessitate realignment. This dynamicity emphasizes the necessity for a continuous review process, wherein assessment tools evolve in tandem with the dynamic landscape of higher education competencies. The adaptability of assessment strategies becomes paramount, positioning Okmin University to respond proactively to emerging educational trends and industry demands.

In delving deeper into the analysis of Okmin University's assessment and evaluation system, it is imperative to contextualize the findings within existing theoretical frameworks and draw insights from prior research endeavors. The clarity of assessment objectives, a pivotal dimension explored in this study, aligns with the theoretical underpinning of effective communication in

education. The works of communication theorists such as Grice and Austin underscore the importance of clarity and shared understanding in any communicative exchange. Existing research by Smith et al. (2017) on higher education assessments echoes the significance of transparent communication for fostering a positive learning environment.

The alignment of assessment tools with competencies finds resonance with the broader educational theory of constructivism. The principles of constructivism posit that assessments should authentically reflect the skills and competencies students are expected to develop. Vygotsky's sociocultural theory further emphasizes the role of assessment in scaffolding students' learning experiences (Vygotsky's, 1978). Previous studies by Brown and Knight (2015) substantiate the argument for aligning assessments with competencies, emphasizing their role in shaping meaningful learning outcomes.

Stakeholder engagement, as explored in this study, correlates with the theoretical frameworks of student involvement in educational decision-making. Astin's involvement theory and Tinto's model of student retention both emphasize the positive impact of student engagement on academic success (Austin, 2016). Building on this, the research by Harper (2019) provides empirical evidence supporting the notion that involving students in decision-making processes positively influences their educational experience.

The utilization of technology in assessment aligns with contemporary educational technology theories, particularly the SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) model (Grice, 2015). This model posits that technology integration should move beyond mere substitution to redefine and transform learning experiences. The works of Mishra and Koehler (2016) elaborate on the transformative potential of technology in education, supporting the idea that strategic integration can enhance assessment processes.

To enrich the discussion on the overall impact on student learning outcomes, insights from existing research on formative assessment and timely feedback are crucial. Black and Wiliam's research (1998) on formative assessment highlights its potential to enhance learning outcomes, emphasizing the importance of continuous feedback. Similarly, Tinto work (2015) underscores the significance of timely and targeted feedback in promoting student achievement.

In juxtaposing the current findings with theoretical frameworks and prior research, Okmin University can draw inspiration for strategic improvements. Leveraging communication theories, constructivism, involvement models, and educational technology frameworks can guide the institution in refining its assessment strategies. Insights from existing research provide a foundation for evidence-based practices, offering Okmin University a roadmap to align its assessment and evaluation system with contemporary educational best practices.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the analysis of the effectiveness of the assessment and evaluation system at Okmin University offers valuable insights into areas of strength and areas requiring improvement. The discussion has emphasized the dynamic nature of higher education assessment and the need for continuous adaptation to meet the evolving needs of students and the broader educational landscape. The implications and recommendations presented aim to guide Okmin University in fostering a more transparent, inclusive, and technologically-enhanced assessment system, ultimately contributing to the institution's commitment to excellence in higher education.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Gratitude is extended to the participants, faculty, and administrative staff at Okmin University for their invaluable contributions to this research. Special appreciation is reserved for the researchers and scholars whose foundational work informed the study. The collaborative spirit and commitment to improvement demonstrated by all involved have been instrumental. Additionally, thanks are

extended to the editorial and review team for their insightful feedback, enhancing the overall quality of this article.

6. REFERENCES

- Astin, A. W. (2014). "Student Involvement: A Developmental Theory for Higher Education." *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 25(4), 297-308.
- Austin, J. L. (2015). "How to Do Things with Words." Harvard: Harvard University Press.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2018). "Assessment and Classroom Learning." *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74.
- Brown, S., & Knight, P. (2015). "Assessment for Learning in Higher Education." London: Routledge.
- Grice, H. P. (2015). "Logic and Conversation." In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and Semantics: Speech Acts* (Vol. 3, pp. 41–58). Academic Press.
- Harper, S. R., & Quayle, S. J. (2019). "Student Engagement in Higher Education: Theoretical Perspectives and Practical Approaches for Diverse Populations." London: Routledge.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2017). "The Power of Feedback." *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2016). "Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge." *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017-1054.
- Smith, J., et al. (2017). "Enhancing Clarity in Higher Education Assessments." *Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions*, 14(24), 1-8.
- Tinto, V. (2015). "Dropout from Higher Education: A Theoretical Synthesis of Recent Research." *Review of Educational Research*, 45(1), 89-125.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). "Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes." Harvard: Harvard University Press.