

## An Integrative Theoretical Approach to Property Law and Social Literacy for the Protection of Community Property Rights

Zaenal Mustofa<sup>1</sup>, Aiman Hakim bin Zulkifli<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Duta Bangsa Surakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia

---

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received: 10 February 2026

Publish: 3 March 2026

---

#### Keywords:

*Integrative Theory;*

*Property Law;*

*Social Literacy;*

*Protection of Community*

*Property Rights.*

---

### Abstract

At present, legal protection of property has not been normatively integrated with public legal literacy, resulting in legal certainty over ownership rights that has not been effective in preventing disputes and violations of property rights at the social level. This study aims to propose the concept of an Integrative Theory of Property Law and Social Literacy for the Protection of Community Property Rights. The research employs a conceptual approach through literature review and normative legal analysis to synthesize agrarian law concepts, social literacy, and legal protection theory in order to formulate an integrative model of community property rights protection that is effective both juridically and socially. The findings indicate that the protection of property rights within the Indonesian property law system has not yet been fully effective, as it remains heavily reliant on a normative-formal approach that emphasizes administrative legality, while the dimensions of social literacy and the legal culture of society have not been systematically integrated. Through a conceptual reconstruction based on the Basic Agrarian Law (UUPA), this study affirms that property rights are not merely a juridical status guaranteed by the state, but also a social relationship that requires public understanding, awareness, and active participation for legal protection to function in practice. Social literacy is shown to serve as a preventive protective instrument that strengthens certainty and justice of property rights prior to the emergence of disputes, while simultaneously acting as a bridge between legal norms and social practices. Therefore, an integrative theoretical model that combines agrarian law, socio-legal literacy, and legal culture offers a more substantive, collaborative, and sustainable framework for the protection of property rights, and provides a theoretical and normative foundation for policy development, legal education, and reform of the property rights protection system in Indonesia.

This is an open access article under the [Lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi-BerbagiSerupa 4.0 Internasional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)



---

### Corresponding Author:

**Zaenal Mustofa**

Universitas Duta Bangsa Surakarta, Indonesia

Email Coresspondent: [zaenal\\_mustofa@udb.ac.id](mailto:zaenal_mustofa@udb.ac.id)

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Property law constitutes a fundamental foundation for safeguarding community ownership rights, as legal certainty over ownership serves as a prerequisite for security and justice in social and economic interactions (Firmanto & Sutrisno, 2026). Clear and protected procedural ownership does not merely encompass formal legal aspects such as land registration and certification, but also requires public legal awareness so that these rights are respected and effectively enforced (Fikri, Sutikno, & Azis, 2023). In practice, numerous problems related to property ownership persist, including land disputes between individuals or communities and overlapping land rights, most of which stem from weak public

understanding of ownership rights and applicable legal procedures (Dewi & Nurwanti, 2024).

This phenomenon demonstrates that formal legal protection alone is often insufficient to prevent violations of ownership rights, as certainty derived from registration or legal documentation does not automatically generate social awareness of such rights (Nasrudin, Washliati, & Fadlan, 2024). Moreover, although Law Number 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles (UUPA) and its implementing regulations provide a legal framework for land ownership, implementation in practice continues to face various obstacles due to low levels of legal literacy among the public (Tiodora Siahaan & Saleh, 2022). One of the main issues lies in the weakness of public legal literacy regarding rights and obligations in the context of property ownership, which contributes to disputes that could otherwise be prevented through adequate procedural understanding (Ratnita, 2025).

These problems are further exacerbated by limited dissemination of legal regulations by the government and related institutions, resulting in communities often being unaware of their ownership rights or the legal steps required to protect their property (Nadeak, Rambe, Joharsah, & Ananda Nst, 2024). From a social perspective, property law protection cannot be viewed solely as the authority of law enforcement agencies, but must also involve collective social awareness in respecting others' property rights and complying with applicable legal norms (Nuryasinta & Wardoyo, 2024). Legal literacy thus becomes a key factor in fostering proactive public attitudes toward safeguarding ownership rights, as without sufficient legal understanding, existing regulations tend to remain merely normative and difficult to implement effectively in practice (Suhadi et al., 2024).

Several studies indicate that improving legal literacy can strengthen property law protection by reducing conflicts, increasing compliance with legal procedures, and encouraging public participation in agrarian legal processes (Mohamad & Djaja, 2025; Suhadi et al., 2024). Sari (2025), in a case study conducted in Yogyakarta, found that low levels of public legal literacy regarding the legality of land transactions contribute to ownership uncertainty and the potential for social conflict, as communities tend to avoid formal procedures perceived as complicated, thereby weakening legal guarantees of ownership protection (Sari, 2025). Nuryasinta and Wardoyo (2024) further demonstrate that empowerment approaches grounded in local contexts can enhance community understanding of land law rights and obligations by involving local stakeholders in land dispute resolution processes and strengthening the relationship between national law and customary law (Nuryasinta & Wardoyo, 2024). Meanwhile, Taolin, Mujiburohman, and Widarbo (2024) emphasize that public legal awareness of land registration and transfer of rights significantly influences community understanding and attitudes toward agrarian legal procedures; consequently, insufficient understanding contributes to recurring disputes and low levels of public participation in formal legal mechanisms (Taolin, Mujiburohman, & Widarbo, 2024).

Although these studies highlight aspects of public legal literacy and land disputes, a research gap remains in the form of an integrative theory that conceptually combines these elements with a specific focus on the protection of community ownership rights within the normative framework of property law. Most existing studies remain empirical in nature or focus on legal education programs without formulating a comprehensive theoretical model that explains how social literacy can structurally and normatively reinforce property law protection.

Therefore, an integrative theoretical approach is required one that combines formal property law with social literacy as an instrument for protecting ownership rights, so that existing regulations do not merely function as written norms but also become social

practices that are understood and implemented by the community (Fakhry Firmanto & Sutrisno, 2026).

## 2. RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a conceptual approach to formulate an integrative theoretical model of property law and social literacy as an instrument for protecting community ownership rights. This approach is selected because the research aims to develop and synthesize concepts of agrarian law, legal literacy, and social dynamics, rather than to collect new empirical data in the field. Accordingly, the most appropriate method is theoretical and documentary analysis, as well as conceptual formulation based on comprehensive scholarly literature.

Research data are obtained through an intensive literature review, including the examination of national legal documents (such as Law Number 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles and its implementing regulations), academic literature from international and national journals, and legal theory books relevant to property law, land ownership, legal literacy, and social aspects of society. Normative legal analysis is applied to understand the principles, norms, and objectives of legal protection within the property law system.

Within this conceptual framework, a synthesis process is conducted by integrating agrarian law concepts, social literacy as a tool for legal empowerment of communities, and legal protection theory in order to construct a new conceptual framework. The synthesis is carried out by comparing key aspects of agrarian law studies including interpretations of ownership rights and the social function of land with literature demonstrating the relationship between levels of public legal literacy, dispute risks, and the effectiveness of legal protection.

Furthermore, this research incorporates the theoretical dimension of land ownership as presented in studies on legal culture, as philosophical and cultural analyses of property law enrich the understanding of the relationship between society and land rights as broader social entities. Through this conceptual synthesis, a comparison is made between positive law concepts and social legal literacy concepts to formulate a new integrative model capable of explaining not only juridical aspects, but also the role of social literacy in effectively promoting the protection of community ownership rights.

Through this conceptual approach, the study seeks to develop a robust theoretical framework that can serve as a foundation for the development of legal education instruments, public policy recommendations, and further research in the fields of agrarian law and community social literacy.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### a. Conceptual Reconstruction of the Protection of Ownership Rights within the Property Law System

The results of this conceptual study indicate that the protection of ownership rights within the Indonesian property law system has thus far remained predominantly grounded in a normative–formal approach, particularly through instruments such as land registration, certification, and the enforcement of administrative and civil law. Law Number 5 of 1960 on Basic Agrarian Principles (UUPA) explicitly positions ownership rights (*hak milik*) as the strongest and most complete rights over land. However, at the level of implementation, this normative strength has not yet fully ensured legal certainty and effective protection of community ownership rights.

Table 1. Reconstruction of the Basic Agrarian Principles (UUPA)

<b>UUPA Provision</b>	<b>Positive Normative Formulation</b>	<b>Prevailing Protection Orientation</b>	<b>Social-Literacy-Based Conceptual Reconstruction</b>
Article 20(1) UUPA	Ownership is a hereditary, strongest, and most complete right over land	Formal legal certainty through recognition of legal status	Ownership is understood not merely as a juridical status, but as a social relationship requiring public understanding for effective protection
Article 20(2) UUPA	Ownership may be transferred and assigned to another party	Emphasis on administrative transfer mechanisms	Transfer of rights must be accompanied by community legal literacy to prevent manipulation, fraud, and ownership disputes
Article 23(1) UUPA	Ownership rights, as well as their transfer, extinguishment, and encumbrance, must be registered	Registration as the primary instrument of protection	Land registration serves as initial protection that must be strengthened by legal awareness and procedural understanding
Article 23(2) UUPA	Registration constitutes strong evidence of ownership	Protection based on formal evidentiary instruments	Formal evidence becomes effective only when communities understand the meaning, function, and legal consequences of registration
Article 6 UUPA	All land rights carry a social function	Declarative–normative social function	The social function of land is reconstructed as a collective responsibility understood through social literacy and legal culture
Article 19 UUPA	The government conducts land registration to ensure legal certainty	The state as the primary actor of protection	Protection of ownership rights is collaborative between the state and society through legal literacy empowerment

Source: Processed data, 2026.

Through normative analysis of the UUPA and its implementing regulations, this research finds that legal protection of ownership rights is predominantly understood as an issue of formal legality, rather than as a living social relationship within society. In reality, land ownership is not solely juridical in nature, but also encompasses social, economic, and cultural dimensions. This imbalance in understanding constitutes a key factor behind the persistence of agrarian conflicts, ownership disputes, and dispossession, despite the existence of a regulatory framework.

The study further reveals a conceptual gap between a state-centered property law design and the realities faced by communities, including limited legal understanding, low agrarian literacy, and weak bargaining positions within power relations over land. These

conceptual findings reinforce the argument that protection of ownership rights cannot be viewed merely as a product of positive legal norms, but must be understood as a social process requiring community empowerment instruments.

#### **b. Social Literacy as a Protective Instrument in Property Law**

The synthesis of literature demonstrates a strong conceptual correlation between social literacy, legal literacy, and the effectiveness of ownership rights protection. In this study, social literacy is not narrowly defined as the ability to read legal regulations, but rather as the collective capacity of communities to understand, interpret, and utilize property law within their social lives.

Theoretical studies indicate that low levels of agrarian legal literacy place communities in vulnerable positions, exposing them to administrative manipulation, land brokerage practices, horizontal conflicts, and structural injustices in land control. Accordingly, social literacy functions as a preventive protective mechanism, rather than merely a complement to repressive law enforcement.

From the perspective of legal protection theory, social literacy may be positioned as a *first layer of protection* operating prior to litigation and non-litigation mechanisms. This study confirms that communities with adequate levels of property law literacy tend to demonstrate stronger capacities to:

- 1) Identify the legal status and boundaries of their ownership rights;
- 2) Prevent disputes at an early stage;
- 3) Access legal institutions appropriately; and
- 4) Defend their rights in a lawful and dignified manner.

Thus, social literacy is not merely an issue of legal education, but an integral component of the ownership rights protection system itself.

#### **c. Integration of Agrarian Law, Social Literacy, and Legal Culture in an Integrative Theoretical Model**

Based on conceptual analysis and comparative literature review, this research formulates an integrative theoretical model of property law and social literacy. The model is constructed through the integration of three main pillars:

##### **1) Agrarian Law (Legal Structure and Substance)**

This pillar encompasses positive legal norms, principles, and policies governing ownership rights, including the social function of land, legal certainty, and agrarian justice.

##### **2) Socio-Legal Literacy (Legal Empowerment Dimension)**

This pillar positions community legal literacy as an empowerment instrument that enables property law to operate effectively within social practice.

##### **3) Legal Culture (Legal Culture Dimension)**

This pillar emphasizes that the meaning of ownership rights is shaped by societal values, customs, and legal consciousness, rendering law inseparable from its socio-cultural context.

The synthesis indicates that these three pillars have traditionally operated in isolation, resulting in partial and unsustainable protection of ownership rights. The integrative model developed in this study places social literacy as a conceptual bridge between normative law and social practice, ensuring that ownership protection is not only legal-formal in nature, but also substantive and transformative.

#### **d. Theoretical and Normative Implications of the Integrative Model**

Theoretically, the findings of this research broaden the understanding of property law from a legalistic approach toward an integrative social perspective. Ownership rights are no longer perceived merely as a subject object relationship guaranteed by the state,

but as a legal–social relationship requiring active community participation through legal literacy.

Normatively, this model provides a conceptual foundation for:

- 1) Strengthening ownership rights protection policies based on community empowerment;
- 2) Integrating legal literacy programs into the national land administration system; and
- 3) Reformulating agrarian dispute resolution approaches to become more preventive and participatory.

Accordingly, this study affirms that effective protection of ownership rights can only be realized when property law is systematically integrated with social literacy and the legal culture of society.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the protection of ownership rights within the Indonesian property law system has not yet been fully effective, as it remains dominated by a normative–formal approach that emphasizes administrative legality and the role of the state as the primary actor, while social dimensions and community capacities have not been systematically integrated. Through a conceptual approach, this research reconstructs the understanding of ownership rights protection as a legal–social relationship that positions social literacy and legal culture as essential protective instruments. The synthesis demonstrates that social literacy functions as a preventive first layer of ownership rights protection, enhances the effectiveness of legal norms, and bridges the gap between positive law and social practice. The integrative theoretical model formulated in this study combines agrarian law, social literacy, and legal culture into a coherent conceptual framework, ensuring that ownership rights protection is not merely legal–formal in nature, but also substantive, participatory, and sustainable. Accordingly, this research affirms that the effectiveness of community ownership rights protection can only be achieved through the integration of legal certainty, empowerment through social literacy, and the strengthening of legal culture within the national property law system.

Based on these findings, it is recommended that future development of property law should not focus solely on strengthening legal norms and administrative mechanisms, but should also systematically integrate social literacy as a core component of ownership rights protection strategies. The government and land-related stakeholders should mainstream property law literacy programs within national land administration policies and systems as a preventive measure against disputes. Furthermore, future research is encouraged to test and further develop this integrative theoretical model within the contexts of public policy and legal education, in order to strengthen substantive and sustainable protection of ownership rights.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our deepest gratitude and appreciation for the joint research collaboration established between Universitas Duta Bangsa Surakarta, Indonesia, and Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. This collaboration represents a form of cross-national academic synergy that not only enriches scholarly perspectives, but also enhances research quality through the exchange of ideas, methodologies, and experiences. It is our hope that this cooperation will continue and contribute meaningfully to the advancement of knowledge and institutional development at both regional and global levels.

#### 6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ayunanda, L., Lidya M, B., Intania P, S., & Saputra, D. A. (2024). *Konsep kepemilikan hak atas tanah menurut perspektif hukum adat dan hukum Islam di Indonesia*. **Journal Customary Law**, 1(2), 10. <https://doi.org/10.47134/jcl.v1i2.2457>
- Dewi, S. K., Dewi, N., & Nurwanti, Y. D. (2024). *Perlindungan hukum bagi pembeli tanah yang status sertifikat tanahnya dalam proses pemecahan: Studi kasus di Kantor Notaris/PPAT Rus Utaryono, SH, MH Kabupaten Sragen*. **Jurnal Ilmiah Edunomika**, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.29040/jie.v8i3.14355>
- Kadek Wulandari Laksmi P., Bhargawa, D. A. A., & Ariwangsa, I. G. N. (2024). *Membantu penerapan perlindungan hukum bagi konsumen dalam jual beli properti di PT. Wolu Sekar Mas*. **Community Development Journal: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat**, 5(4), 7355–7358. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cdj.v5i4.32079>
- Nasrudin, N., Washliati, L., & Fadlan, F. (2024). *Analisis yuridis perlindungan hukum terhadap hak milik di atas tanah hak pengelolaan lahan untuk mewujudkan kepastian hukum*. **Zona Hukum: Jurnal Hukum**, 14(2), Article 548. <https://doi.org/10.37776/zh.v14i2.548>
- Tiodora Siahaan, D., & Saleh, M. (2022). *Perlindungan hukum bagi pembeli properti perumahan terhadap perbedaan luas objek tanah dalam sertifikat dengan akta jual beli*. **Perspektif: Kajian Masalah Hukum dan Pembangunan**, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.30742/perspektif.v27i2.821>
- Ratnita, T. N. S. (2025). *Public legal literacy and ownership certainty in land transactions in Yogyakarta: A socio-legal case study*. **Saneskara: Journal of Social Studies**, 2(2), 75–??. <https://doi.org/10.62491/sjss.v2i2.2025.52>
- Suhadi, S., Muhtada, D., Ramli, A., Baehaqie, I., Santyoko, L. A., & Ridha, M. (2024). *Enhancing nazir capacity through legal literacy of land trust to ensure legal certainty in waqf land management*. **Indonesian Journal of Legal Community Engagement**, 7(2), 119–134. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jphi.v7i2.6693>
- Fikri, R. H., Sutikno, A. Y. W., & Azis, M. (2023). *Perlindungan dan jaminan kepastian hukum terhadap bukti surat kepemilikan tanah non-sertifikat (Studi kasus Kabupaten Sorong)*. **Equality Before The Law**, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.36232/equalitybeforethelaw.v3i1.465>
- Fakhry Firmanto & Sutrisno, H. (2026). *Legal certainty of land registration as a form of protection of citizens' constitutional rights*. **JKIH: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum**, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.55583/jkih.v5i1.1661>
- Nadeak, S. D., Rambe, A. A., Joharsah, & Ananda Nst, D. M. (2024). *Perlindungan hukum bagi konsumen dalam transaksi jual beli properti di Medan berdasarkan KUH Perdata*. **Judge: Jurnal Hukum**, 5(03), 80–85. <https://doi.org/10.54209/judge.v5i03.802>
- Prabowo, M. S. (2025). *The role of legal knowledge in strengthening consumer protection and access to justice*. **Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum**, 13(5). <https://dx.doi.org/10.26532/jph.v12i3.46983>
- Sari, T. N. (2025). *Public legal literacy and ownership certainty in land transactions in Yogyakarta: A socio-legal case study*. **Saneskara: Journal of Social Studies**, 2(2), 74–85. <https://doi.org/10.62491/sjss.v2i2.2025.52>
- Sutarjo, S. (2024). *The role of land law in the politics of land procurement law for national development between public interest and land ownership rights*. **International Journal of Education, Information Technology and Others (IJEIT)**, 7(2), 285–295. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11195120>
- Nur Annisa, & Siti Nurhayati (2023). *Settlement of disputes over multiple land certificates related to ownership of land rights: Study at the Medan City Land Office*.

**LITERACY : International Scientific Journals of Social, Education, Humanities, 2(3), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.56910/literacy.v2i3.1099>**

- Nuryasinta, R. K., & Wardoyo, Y. P. (2024). Escalation of Community Legal Literacy in Resolving Land Disputes in Bumiaji Village, Batu City. *Jurnal Pengabdian Hukum Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Legal Community Engagement) JPHI*, 7(2), 191-212. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jphi.v7i2.12734>
- Mohamad, A. R., & Djaja, B. (2025). *Effectiveness of legal protection for land rights certificate holders against unlawful claims*. **Awang Long Law Review**, 7(2), 402–409. <https://doi.org/10.56301/awl.v8i1.1807>
- Sari, T. N. (2025). *Public legal literacy and ownership certainty in land transactions: A socio-legal case*. **Saneskara: Journal of Social Studies**, Vol. 2 No. 2. <https://doi.org/10.62491/sjss.v2i2.2025.52>
- Fuad, F., Tardjono, H., Machmud, A. et al. (2024). *Ownership of land: Legal philosophy and culture analysis of land property rights*. **Jurnal Media Hukum**, 30(2). <https://doi.org/10.18196/jmh.v30i2.18264>