JISIP (Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Pendidikan)
Vol. 10 No. 1 Januari 2026
e-ISSN : 2656-6753, p-ISSN: 2598-9944
DOI: *10.36312/jisip.v10i1.9561/http://ejournal.mandalanursa.org/index.php/JISIP/index*

Behavioral Roadmap: Linking Micro Assessments and Macro Change in Social Well-Being

Anita Yurnalia[1] Yuliyantini[2]
[1]Universitas Langlangbuana
[2]Universitas Langlangbuana, Universitas Padjajaran

Article Info
Article history:
Received: 3 November 2025
Publish: 1 January 2025

Keywords:
Social Behavior;
Self Efficacy;
Social Policy.

Abstract
This study positions human behavior at the core of social welfare practice, showing how cognitive (beliefs and judgments), affective (emotions and relatedness), and conative (intentions and volition) processes translate into social functioning across micro meso macro contexts. Through a structured literature review, it synthesizes evidence that attitudes, perceived norms, and perceived control shape intentions and, when supported by self-efficacy, modeling, feedback, and social support, increase adherence, participation, and persistence. The analysis integrates ecological perspectives, emphasizing the role of families, peer networks, service organizations, and policy arrangements in enabling or constraining action by altering information, time, and administrative costs. It proposes a cross-disciplinary framework linking assessment, intervention, and evaluation: assess specific beliefs, emotions, perceived barriers, and stage of change; design multi-level strategies that combine targeted education, skills practice, peer support, and procedural simplification; and monitor proximate indicators (intention, self-efficacy, perceived control, and barriers) alongside administrative outputs. By aligning individual goals with system change such as reducing administrative burden, offering low-cost reminders, and strengthening community partnerships the framework lowers structural frictions and normalizes prosocial choices. The result is a pragmatic, context-sensitive pathway for social workers to foster durable behavior change while safeguarding equity and dignity.

Corresponding Author:
Yuliyantini
Universitas Langlangbuana, Universitas Padjajaran
Email : yuliantini@unla.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Social welfare science stems from an ethical commitment to improving quality of life and social functioning through evidence-based assessment, intervention, and policy advocacy. In this landscape, human behavior becomes the focus of analysis because both problems and potential for well-being are manifested through how people perceive situations, manage emotions, form intentions, and execute actions within complex networks of relationships. To prevent practice from becoming trapped in the individualization of problems and, conversely, from ignoring personal agency, a social-ecological framework is needed to link psychological determinants at the micro level with environmental and policy levers at the meso-macro level so that opportunities for action and the costs of decisions can be systematically framed (Golden & Earp, 2012; Golden, McLeroy, Green, Earp, & Lieberman, 2015).

From a social psychology perspective, meta-analyses have shown that the combination of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived control consistently predicts intentions and

actual behavior across public service contexts; when specific beliefs underpinning these three determinants are targeted and feelings of efficacy are strengthened, program adherence and participation tend to increase (McEachan, Conner, Taylor, & Lawton, 2011; Sheeran et al., 2016). However, strong intentions alone are not enough. Their resilience is underpinned by universal psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Therefore, service designs that provide meaningful choices, build tangible skills, and strengthen supportive relationships will foster more sustained motivation (Ng et al., 2012). To enable auditability of operational recommendations, a taxonomy of behavior change techniques provides a detailed "working language" of modeling, incremental feedback, action planning, and self-monitoring to bridge intentions to repeated execution (Michie et al., 2013).

Strong social relationships are not simply a context for meaning, but also influence health outcomes and psychological resilience, which in turn stabilize community participation in programs. Meta-analytic evidence suggests that social isolation and loneliness increase the risk of negative outcomes; therefore, strengthening emotional, informational, and instrumental support should be positioned as a core component of interventions (Holt-Lunstad, Smith, Baker, Harris, & Stephenson, 2015). At the same time, institutional architecture influences the execution of intentions through learning burden, psychological costs, and compliance costs. Procedural complexity, paperwork repetition, and information uncertainty are often summarized as a*dministrative burden*has been shown to erode participation, especially among vulnerable groups (Moynihan, Herd, & Harvey, 2015). This effect is exacerbated by resource scarcity, which reduces cognitive bandwidth and shifts attention to short-term choices; therefore, friction-reducing strategies such as concise forms, fast-tracks, automated reminders, and breaking tasks into small steps have both a theoretical basis and clear practical implications (Mani, Mullainathan, Shafir, & Zhao, 2013; Kalil, Mayer, & Shah, 2023; Thakkar et al., 2016).

The translation of intentions into action is also aided by setting specific and temporally close implementation plans. Evidence from randomized controlled trials suggests that *implementation intentions* Detailing when, where, and how to act increases the likelihood of implementation, especially when combined with low-cost reminders such as text messages (Silva, São-João, Brizon, Franco, & Mialhe, 2018; Thakkar et al., 2016). Furthermore, stigma dynamics influence help-seeking and adherence to service recommendations; therefore, public communications and front-line interaction protocols need to be designed with an anti-stigma sensibility to avoid creating new, unacknowledged barriers (Clement et al., 2015). With an Indonesian orientation, the values of mutual cooperation, trust in respected local figures, and family communication channels are not merely cultural trappings but levers for intervention acceptance that must be integrated with institutional arrangements and policies to make change natural, easy, and sustainable (Golden & Earp, 2012; Golden et al., 2015).

In general, this research is based on the question of why behavioral changes targeted by social welfare programs often fail to persist despite the provision of knowledge and access to services. This general question leads to a cross-level explanation that links individual psychological processes with social configurations and policies to continuously narrow the gap between intentions and actions. Building on this, this study specifically seeks to explain how the cognitive, affective, and conative domains shape intentions and behavior; explaining the role of *self-efficacy*, modeling, feedback, and social support in supporting the adoption and sustainability of change; unraveling how families, communities, institutions, and policies moderate the transition from intention to action; determining the most effective multi-level intervention designs when aligned with the stage of change and perceived barrier profiles; examining how administrative burden, scarcity of attention, and public stigma shift service utilization decisions; and establishing indicators *proximate* especially intentions,

efficacy, perceived control, and barriers as an evaluation compass that guides the continuous improvement cycle. At the most contextual level, this research formulates the need for adaptation based on local Indonesian values and norms of mutual cooperation, the authority of trusted figures, and family communication channels so that interventions are not only theoretically valid but also accepted, feasible, and have a real impact on community life.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a literature review approach with a systematic search strategy and relevance-based selection to construct a narrative framework regarding human behavior as an object of social welfare study. Primary and secondary sources were identified from contemporary classical literature and screened using inclusion criteria: relevance to social work/public service practice, conceptual fit with the cognitive-affective-conative domain, and contribution to cross-level modeling of individual environments. The data extraction stage was carried out with thematic reading to map the main constructs of beliefs, attitudes, intentions, social support, and self-efficacy and link them to the ecological context of families, communities, institutions, and policies within the framework of environmental and policy-based health promotion (Golden & Earp, 2012; Golden et al., 2015).

To maintain the order of the argument, the synthesis procedure follows an inductive-deductive logic. In the deductive stage, key concepts from the TPB study are used to assess the contribution of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived control to intentions and actions (McEachan et al., 2011; Sheeran et al., 2016). The next stage integrates relevant psychological mechanisms for the adoption of adaptive behaviors, including modeling, feedback, and efficacy reinforcement, based on a taxonomy of behavior change techniques and meta-analytic evidence (Michie et al., 2013; Sheeran et al., 2016), as well as findings on the impact of social support and attachment on health and resilience (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015). Commonly used theoretical frameworks in intervention design are also mapped: the Health Belief Model to examine risk-benefit-barrier beliefs (Carpenter, 2010), the Transtheoretical Model to align strategies with stages of change (Paxton et al., 2016), and Self-Determination Theory to assess psychological needs that underpin sustained motivation (Ng et al., 2012). Aspects of implementation intention and friction reduction are analyzed through literature on implementation plans and simple reminder interventions (Silva et al., 2018; Thakkar et al., 2016), as well as studies on administrative burden and resource scarcity that limit cognitive bandwidth (Moynihan et al., 2015; Mani et al., 2013; Kalil et al., 2023). The final product is a structured narrative that links behavioral determinants to intervention strategy choices across multiple ecological layers, providing operational guidance for program designers and practitioners in the Indonesian context.

3. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Starting from the deductive formulation of the problem in the introduction and following the procedures of systematic search literature study, relevance-based selection, thematic extraction, and deductive-inductive synthesis, this section presents the results of the literature synthesis and its implications for the design of assessments, interventions, and evaluations of social welfare programs. The presentation of findings is organized following a conceptual flow from upstream to downstream: psychological determinants at the micro level, motivational reinforcers and behavior change techniques, social ecological moderation, multi-level intervention design that aligns stages of change and beliefs risks benefits barriers, reduction of decision friction and restoration of cognitive bandwidth, bridges of action intentions through implementation plans and low-cost reminders, stigma dynamics and their consequences for service utilization, to measurement of proximate indicators for continuous improvement.

A thematic synthesis of Theory of Planned Behavior-based studies demonstrates strong consistency that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived control are prospective predictors of both intention and actual behavior across public service domains (McEachan, Conner, Taylor, & Lawton, 2011). A more recent meta-analysis demonstrated that manipulation of these three determinants, particularly strengthening self-efficacy/perceived control, causally drives changes in intention and behavior (Sheeran et al., 2016). These two streams of evidence—prospective predictions and causal effects—form the rationale for initial program assessments to map the specific beliefs that shape attitudes and norms, while also assessing perceived control as a prerequisite for the transition from intention to action. Thus, the results of the first focus (microdeterminations) confirm that the quality of targeted beliefs and perceived control are key levers for increasing participation and compliance.

In focusing on driving mechanisms, thematic findings center on two things: cultivating efficacy and orchestrating behavior change techniques. The taxonomy of behavior change techniques places modeling, incremental feedback, action planning, and self-monitoring as a high-leverage technique for bridging intentions to repeated execution (Michie et al., 2013). Consistently, meta-analyses show that changes in attitudes, norms, and efficacy contribute directly to changes in intentions and behavior (Sheeran et al., 2016). This means that at the practical level, educational modules that only transfer knowledge need to be complemented by demonstrations of the behavior, gradual goal setting, feedback mechanisms that affirm small progress, and simple but consistent self-monitoring. The integration of these four techniques is not simply a methodological choice, but rather a logical consequence of meta-analytic evidence that maps the path of behavior change from cognitive-affective to cognitive-implementative.

The motivational dimensions that underpin the resilience of intentions are reflected in the psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness, which, when met, enhance the sustainability of motivation (Ng et al., 2012). The synthesis of results suggests that interventions that provide meaningful choices (autonomy), build concrete skills through brief training and guided practice (competence), and strengthen supportive relationships (relatedness) tend to produce intentions that are more resilient to disruptions to routines. This evidence reinforces the rationale that service design should not simply "tell what is right" but rather "enable how to do it" and "keep it done" through relevant social support.

The power of social support itself is not only psychological, but also has implications for health outcomes and resilience, stabilizing community participation in programs. Meta-analyses have shown that social isolation and loneliness are associated with an increased risk of adverse health outcomes (Holt-Lunstad, Smith, Baker, Harris, & Stephenson, 2015). Within a behavior change framework, these findings have operational significance: structured support groups, peer mentors, and communication channels that facilitate the exchange of information and affection are core components, not complementary, because they reduce the practical emotional friction in implementing target behaviors.

Building on this psychological foundation, the social-ecological lens asserts that effective micro-interventions will demonstrate more stable impacts when aligned with environmental arrangements and policies at the meso-macro level (Golden & Earp, 2012; Golden, McLeroy, Green, Earp, & Lieberman, 2015). Synthesis on the social-ecological moderation focus shows that clear access to information, flexible service hours, cross-sector referral governance, and simple procedures act as a "buffer" that maintains perceptions of control and closes the gap between intention to act. In the context of changing risk-benefit-barrier beliefs, a review based on the Health Belief Model confirms the central role of perceived vulnerability, benefits, and barriers in predicting the adoption of preventive behaviors (Carpenter, 2010). Therefore, context-sensitive educational materials, such as narrating locally meaningful benefits and mapping the barriers actually experienced by

residents, will be more effective than generic messages. When this belief map is integrated with intervention staging based on the Transtheoretical Model, the focus of the strategy can be shifted gradually: from awareness-raising in pre-contemplation, to planning and skills training in the preparation-for-action phase, to relapse prevention in maintenance (Paxton et al., 2016). These results make it clear that "stage fit" is not theoretical jargon; it is a design requirement to maintain a fit between the psychological readiness of residents and the demands of behavioral tasks.

Structural factors that often contribute to implementation failure are identified under the themes of administrative burden and resource scarcity. Procedural complexity, paperwork repetition, and information uncertainty create learning costs, psychological costs, and compliance costs that erode participation, particularly among vulnerable groups (Moynihan, Herd, & Harvey, 2015). Simultaneously, resource scarcity reduces cognitive bandwidth, allowing short-term preferences to dominate, weakening the consistency of intention execution (Mani, Mullainathan, Shafir, & Zhao, 2013; Kalil, Mayer, & Shah, 2023). This evidence suggests that procedural engineering—simplified forms, one-stop shops, and fast-track priority cases—is not simply bureaucratic efficiency, but rather a behavioral intervention that restores execution capacity. At the micro-level, low-cost reminders and breaking down tasks into small steps have also been shown to increase the probability of action: a meta-analysis showed that text messages increased adherence to long-term regimens (Thakkar et al., 2016). Conceptually, these steps restore the cognitive space necessary for timely execution of established intentions.

The final bridge on the action intention trajectory is found in the practice of implementation intentions. Randomized controlled trials show that formulating explicit plans about when, where, and how to act increases the likelihood of execution, especially when combined with simple reminders (Silva, São-João, Brizon, Franco, & Mialhe, 2018). In program design, these findings suggest that each educational session concludes with actionable micro-plans (e.g., schedule, location, and support resources), along with mechanisms followed *up through* channels familiar to citizens (e.g., SMS/WhatsApp). This way, interventions don't stop at increasing knowledge or intention, but instead target cues and contexts that facilitate the target behavior.

The social dimension that is often *under addressed is* stigma. Systematic reviews show that stigma reduces help-seeking and compliance with service recommendations (Clement et al., 2015). Synthesis on this focus indicates that public communication strategies and front-line interaction protocols should be designed with an anti-stigma sensibility, non-judgmental language, confidentiality assurance, and service options that minimize public exposure to avoid creating new, unrecognized barriers. In the Indonesian ecosystem, these steps need to be combined with levers of local acceptance of mutual cooperation values, the authority of trusted figures, and family communication channels so that messages and support mechanisms gain social legitimacy that facilitates adoption (Golden & Earp, 2012; Golden et al., 2015).

In line with methodologies that require traceability from determinants to strategies and indicators, the synthesis recommends using proximate indicators of intention, efficacy, perceived control, and perceived barriers as evaluative compasses tracked at pre- and post-follow-up. Meta-analytic evidence suggests that changes in these indicators mediate behavioral change (McEachan et al., 2011; Sheeran et al., 2016; Ng et al., 2012). By monitoring proximate indicators regularly, programs can iterate rapidly: when intentions increase but behavior is not yet established, the focus is on removing contextual barriers and reinforcing cues to action; when efficacy increases as barriers decrease, improvements in retention and behavioral consistency typically follow. This framework ensures that

evaluation does not stop at administrative metrics (e.g., attendance), but captures the mechanisms of change that truly drive behavior.

Overall, the findings and discussion synthesize cross-level evidence into a coherent argument: sustainable behavior change in social welfare programs emerges from a combination of micro-interventions that address beliefs, emotions, and action plans; motivational reinforcement through psychological needs and social support; procedural engineering to restore cognitive bandwidth and reduce administrative burden; and environmental and policy arrangements that validate pro-social choices. By integrating the lenses of the TPB, SDT, HBM, and TTM into a social-ecological approach, and adding implemented bridges in the form of implementation intentions and low-cost reminders, the intervention design becomes auditable, contextualized, and potentially replicable. In the Indonesian context, social legitimacy through mutual cooperation, trusted figures, and family channels increases the likelihood of intervention acceptance and retention. These findings directly guide the development of assessments, multi-level intervention design, and evaluation packages of *proximate outcome* which is consistent with the current scientific evidence gathered in this study.

4. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that successful behavior change in social welfare programs requires the integration of psychological determinants at the micro level with environmental and policy levers at the meso-macro level. At the individual level, the combination of quality beliefs that underpin attitudes and norms, adequate perceptions of control/efficacy, and the fulfillment of psychological needs (autonomy, competence, and relatedness) forms a strong foundation for intention and is more resilient to daily disruptions. This foundation needs to be bridged to action through proven behavior change techniques such as modeling, incremental feedback, action planning, and self-monitoring, with social-emotional, informational, and instrumental support to reduce practical friction and maintain persistence.

At the same time, lasting change is unlikely to be achieved without contextual engineering. The findings underscore the role of a socioecological approach: educational materials should target locally relevant risk-benefit beliefs; strategies need to be tailored to the stage of change; and service architecture should minimize administrative burden through simple procedures, one-stop shops, and fast-tracking for priority cases. Further strengthening the bridge of intention to action is achieved through specific and temporally proximate implementation intentions, combined with low-cost reminders. Interventions should also be stigma-sensitive, with front-line communication and protocols designed to be non-judgmental, privacy-preserving, and accessible.

In the Indonesian context, social legitimacy is a key determinant of acceptance and sustainability. The values of mutual cooperation, the role of trusted figures, and family communication channels need to be integrated into service design and cross-sector partnerships (e.g., community health centers and community organizations) so that outreach fosters beliefs and norms, peer mentoring fosters efficacy and positive affect, while operational arrangements eliminate small, often crucial barriers.

From an evaluation perspective, proximate indicators of intention, efficacy, perceived control, and perceived barriers should be monitored periodically (pre-post follow-up) to guide rapid iterations on design improvements. When intention increases but behavior is not yet established, the focus is on removing contextual barriers and providing cues to action. When efficacy increases alongside barriers, retention and consistency of behavior tend to improve. These proximate data complement administrative metrics and ensure the evaluation captures the mechanisms that truly drive behavior.

As a limitation, the findings stem from a synthesis of the literature through 2023, so generalization to the entire Indonesian context requires additional field testing. Further research is recommended, adopting adaptive implementation designs (e.g., pragmatic controlled trials, stepped wedge, or realist evaluations), measuring cost-effectiveness and equity impacts, and exploring heterogeneity of effects across regions and vulnerable groups, including the digital divide. Overall, a multi-level intervention framework that weaves cognitive-affective-conative strengthening with procedural improvements, social support, and policy reinforcement provides the most rational pathway to achieving relevant, accessible, and sustainable social functioning.

5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

McEachan, R. R. C., Conner, M., Taylor, N. J., & Lawton, R. J. (2011). Prospective prediction of health-related behaviours with the Theory of Planned Behaviour: A meta-analysis. Health Psychology Review, 5(2), 97–144. people.umass.edu

Sheeran, P., Maki, A., Montanaro, E., Avishai-Yitshak, A., Bryan, A., Klein, W. M. P., Miles, E., & Rothman, A. J. (2016). The impact of changing attitudes, norms, and self-efficacy on health-related intentions and behavior: A meta-analysis. Health Psychology, 35(11), 1178–1188. Europe PMC

Ng, J. Y. Y., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Duda, J. L., & Williams, G. C. (2012). Self-determination theory applied to health contexts: A meta-analysis. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 7(4), 325–340. JSTOR

Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 10(2), 227–237. irp.cdn-website.com

Carpenter, C. J. (2010). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of Health Belief Model variables in predicting behavior. Health Communication, 25(8), 661–669.

Paxton, R. J., Nigg, C. R., Motl, R. W., Yamashita, M., Chung, R., Battista, J., & Chang, J. A. (2016). Randomized controlled clinical trials of matched and non-matched interventions based on the Transtheoretical Model for physical activity adherence. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 5(1), 20–33. ScienceDirect

Silva, M. A. V. da, São-João, T. M., Brizon, V. C., Franco, D. H., & Mialhe, F. L. (2018). Impact of implementation intentions on physical activity in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. PLOS ONE, 13(11), e0206294. PLOS

Thakkar, J., Kurup, R., Laba, T.-L., Santo, K., Thiagalingam, A., Rodgers, A., Woodward, M., Redfern, J., & Chow, C. K. (2016). Mobile telephone text messaging for medication adherence in chronic disease: A meta-analysis. JAMA Internal Medicine, 176(3), 340–349. JAMA Network

Golden, S. D., & Earp, J. A. L. (2012). Social ecological approaches to individuals and their contexts: Twenty years of Health Education & Behavior health promotion interventions. Health Education & Behavior, 39(3), 364–372. SAGE Journals

Moynihan, D., Herd, P., & Harvey, H. (2015). Administrative burden: Learning, psychological, and compliance costs in citizen–state interactions. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 25(1), 43–69. OUP Academic

Clement, S., Schauman, O., Graham, T., Maggioni, F., Evans-Lacko, S., Bezborodovs, N., Morgan, C., Rüsch, N., Brown, J. S. L., & Thornicroft, G. (2015). What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. Psychological Medicine, 45(1), 11–27. Cambridge University Press & Assessment

Michie, S., Richardson, M., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., Francis, J., Hardeman, W., Eccles,

M. P., Cane, J., & Wood, C. E. (2013). The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 46(1), 81–95. aura.abdn.ac.uk

Mani, A., Mullainathan, S., Shafir, E., & Zhao, J. (2013). Poverty impedes cognitive function. Science, 341(6149), 976–980. sci-hub.mk

Kalil, A., Mayer, S., & Shah, R. (2023). Scarcity and inattention. Journal of Behavioral Economics for Policy, 7(1), 35–42. SABE

Golden, S. D., McLeroy, K. R., Green, L. W., Earp, J. A. L., & Lieberman, L. D. (2015). Upending the social ecological model to guide health promotion efforts toward policy and environmental change. Health Education & Behavior, 42(1_suppl), 8S–14S.